

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/753,935 | 01/03/2001 | Larry Carl Nybo | 2801.2.3 | 1720 | |
| 21552 7 | 590 08/22/2005 | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| MADSON & METCALF GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900 15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE | | | TRAN, N | TRAN, NHAN T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2615 | | |
| SALT LAKE (| CITY, UT 84101 | | DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Al-Al-a CAI and among t | 09/753,935 | NYBO ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Nhan T. Tran | 2615 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | dress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>02 December 2004</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on | | | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | rence rendered on and becau- ms. | se the period for se | eking court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| On 8/16/2005, the Examiner called the Applicant's representative, David McKenzie, regarding the status of the application. David confirmed that no reply has been filed in response to the Office Action mailed 12/2/2004. | | | | | | |
| | outho holding of chooder | SUPERVISOR EXAMI | Y PATENT NER | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |
| | of Abandonment | Part of P | Paper No. 8172005 | | | |